ORIGINAL



ARC SPITZER Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner WILLIAM A. MAYES Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner WILLIAM A. MAYES Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MAYES Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Compisioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 A			000000	•
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner FEFF HATCH-MILLER Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner WILLITIES DIVISION STAFF, UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF, LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, Tim WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC fiffs a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC fiffs a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LL	1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORA	TION COMMISSION	30E
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner JEFF HATCH-MILLER Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner APR - 2 2004 WILLIAM A. WIL	2			
Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner WITHITIES DIVISION STAFF, Vs. Complainant, Vs. Docket No. T-03889A-02-0796 T-04125A-02-0796 T-	3		icsion —> .	
Commissioner MIKE GLEASON Commissioner KRISTEN K. MAYES Commissioner UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF, vs. LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members 15 Respondents. 16 IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. 10 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF TECRTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	4	Commissioner	n on 8 -	
UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF, vs. Complainant, vs. Docket No. T-03889A-02-0796 LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING		Commissioner	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	
UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF, vs. Complainant, vs. Docket No. T-03889A-02-0796 LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	5		NCC -2	
UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF, vs. Complainant, vs. Docket No. T-03889A-02-0796 LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	. 6	KRISTEN K. MAYES DOCKETED BY	T SE T SE	
UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF, vs. Complainant, vs. Docket No. T-03889A-02-0796 LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	7	Commissioner	ATRIS W. B	
vs. Complainant, vs. LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0152 Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202 Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202	R	THEN THES DIVISION STAFF	0H 35	
Docket No. T-03889A-02-0796 LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202 Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202				
LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC n/k/a THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f//a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0152 Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202 Nocket No. T-03889A-03-0202 THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC GOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202	9	·		
THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and its principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0152 Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202 No THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202	10		1-04123A-02-0790	
VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA; ON SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, and is principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	11			
13 is principals, TIM WETHERALD, FRANK TRICAMO, DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members 15 Respondents. 16 IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d'b'a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. 10 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC fl/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. 23 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. 24 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d'b'a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	12	VENTURE, d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF		
DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and LEON SWICHKOW; THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLP and its members Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d'b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d'b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d'b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d'b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING				
Respondents. Respondents. Respondents. IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	13	DAVID STAFFORD, MARC DAVID SHINER and		
IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	14	ARIZONA, LLP and its members		
IN THE MATTER OF THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC for CANCELLATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	15	Respondents.		÷
ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY OF ARIZONA'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC G/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	16		Doctor No. T 04125 A 02 0577	
COMPANY OF ARIZONA S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING		ARIZONA JOINT VENTURE d/b/a/ THE PHONE	Docket No. 1-04123A-02-0377	
TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AS A LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING				
AND LONG DISTANCE RESELLER AND ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. Docket No. T-03889A-03-0152 Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	18	TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE		
ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	19			
PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	20			
PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC f/k/a LIVEWIRENET OF ARIZONA, LLC TO DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSARY STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	21	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE	Docket No. T-03889A-02-0578	
DISCONTINUE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND APPLICATION OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING		PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC		
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND APPLICATION OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	22			
PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC FOR CANCELLATION OF FACILITIES BASED AND RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND APPLICATION OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	23	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE	Docket No. T-03889A-03-0152	
25 RSOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. 26 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND APPLICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	24	PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC		
26 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND APPLICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	25			
PHONE COMPANY MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING			Docket No. T-03889A-03-0202	
27 d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND APPROPRIENCE STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING	20			
20 CONVENIENCE AND AUGUSTON	27	d/b/a/ THE PHONE COMPANY FOR THE		1
	28			NG

1 The Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby files the attached late-2 filed exhibit in the above referenced proceeding. 3 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of April, 2004. 4 5 STAFF OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 6 7 8 9 Maureen A. Scott Attorney, Legal Division 10 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 11 (602) 542-6022 12 13 Original and 21 copies of the foregoing filed this 2nd day of April, 2004, with: 14 15 Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 17 Copy of the foregoing mailed this 2nd day 18 of April, 2004, to: 19 Tim Wetherald Steven Petersen 10730 East Bethany Road, Suite 206 2989 Brookdale Drive 20 Aurora, CO 80014 Brooklyn Park, MN 55444 21 David Stafford Johnson Timothy Berg 740 Gilpin Street Theresa Dwyer 22 Denver, CO 80218 Fennemore Craig 3003 N. Central, Suite 2600 23 Roald Haugan Phoenix, AZ 85003-2913 Managing Partners Chairman 24 32321 County Highway 25 **Qwest Corporation** Redwood Falls, MN 56283 Attn: Law Department 25 The Phone Company of Arizona, LLP 4041 N. Central, 11th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012 Travis & Sara Credle 3709 West Hedrick Drive Thomas H. Campbell, Esq. 27 Morehead City, NC 28557 Lewis and Roca

40 North Central

Phoenix, AZ 85004

The Phone Company of Arizona, LLP

Jeffrey Crockett Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004	
Frank Tricamo 6888 South Yukon Court Aurora, CO 80128	
School N.	amera 9
Deborah A. Amaral Assistant to Maureen A. S	cott

STATE ACTIONS:

1. State of Washington v. Timothy Alan Wetherald et al. Case No. 94-2-21036-0

Complaint filed pursuant to the States Consumer Protection Act. A stipulated agreement resulted in the issuance of a Consent Decree prohibiting Tim Wetherald from engaging in telecom business in Washington.

2. State of Oregon v. Tim Wetherald et al. Circuit Court of Oregon, Multnomah County Case No. A8907-04289

> Complaint filed under consumer protection laws resulting in injunction against Tim Wetherald from selling long distance telephone services in the state for three years.

3. State of Iowa Department of Commerce Utilities Board In Re: ServiSense, Docket No. FCU-02-17

Investigation ending in revocation of CC&N.

4. State of Minnesota Public Utilities Commission The Minnesota Phone Company Docket No. P6164/C-02-1383

Complaint filed for failure to obtain a certificate of authority and for failure to obtain consent to transfer property.

5. State of Colorado Public Utilities Commission

Several dockets were opened involving

- transfer of assets without Commission approval (Docket No. 03C-372T)
- 2) Mile High Telecom application to discontinue service (Docket No. 02A-463AT)
- 3) telecom services without the requisite CC&N (Docket No. 02C-082T)

Federal Actions

 Securities and Exchange Commission v. Timothy Wetherald et al. United States District Court, Southern District of Florida Case No. 03-60175-CIV-ZLOCH

Complaint

Washington Bankruptcies

In Re: Intranet Communication, Inc.
Western District of Washington at Seattle
Case No. 93-01807
Filed March, 1993

Voluntary Petition signed by Tim Wetherald, President

 In Re: Network Communications, Inc. Western District of Washington Case No. 92-05044
 Filed July, 1992

Involuntary Petition filed by Creditors. Tim Wetherald was an officer Network Communications, Inc.

Oregon Bankruptcies

In Re: Tel-Analysis, Inc.
 District of Oregon
 Case No. 89-32862
 Filed June, 1989

Voluntary Petition filed by Tim Wetherald.

2. In Re: Ultra Com, Inc.
District of Oregon
Case No. 89-33618
Filed August, 1989

Voluntary Petition filed by Tim Wetherald

Colorado Bankruptcies

1. In Re: Mile High Joint Venture
District of Colorado
Case No. 02-30555
Filed December, 2002

Voluntary Petition signed by Tim Wetherald as Manager of On Systems Technology, LLC.

In Re: Voice Networks, Inc.
 District of Colorado
 Case No. 00-23777
 Filed November, 2000

Voluntary Petition signed by Tim Wetherald as CEO.

(Official Form 1) (9/97)

FORM B1 United States Bankruptcy District of Colorado	Court	v	OLUNTARY PETITION
Name of Debtor (If individual, enter Last, First, Middle): Voice Networks Inc.	Name of Joint Del	otor (Spouse)(Lest	, First, Middle)
All Other Names used by the Debtor in the last 6 years (include married, maiden, and trade names):	All Other Names (include married, r	-	Debtor in the last 8 years names):
Soc.Sec./Tax I.D. No. (If more than one, state all): 84-1364695	Soc.Sec./Tax LD. 1	No. Of more than	one, state all):
Street Address of Debtor (No. & Street, City, State & Zip Code): 14231 E. Fourth Avenue, #218 Aurora, CO 80011	Street Address of	Joint Debtor (No.	& Street, City, State & Zip Code):
County of Residence or of the Principal Place of Business: Arapahoe	County of Residence Principal Place of		
Mailing Address of Debtor (if different from etreet address):			Merent from stroet address):
00	Mailing Address of 2377	7 SBI	
Location of Principal Assets of Business Debtor (If different from street-address above):			
Venue (Chock any applicable box) Debtor has been domiciled or has had a residence, principal pla praceding the date of this patition or for a longer part of such There is a bankruptcy case concerning debtor's affiliate, genera	180 days than in any other	District.	
Type of Debtor (Check any applicable box)	Chapter or S	ection of Banks	ruptoy Code Under Which
Individual(s) Railroad	Chapter 7	Petition is File Chapt	d (Chack one box) or 11
☐ Corporation ☐ Stockbroker ☐ Commodity Broker	Chapter 9	Chapt	-
Other	Sac. 304 - Case	•	
Nature of Debts (Check one box)		Filing Fee (Ch	ack one box)
Consumer/Non-Business Business	Full Filing Fee		
Chapter 11 Small Business (Check all boxes that apply)			nts. (Applicable to individuale
Debtor is a small business as defined in 11 USC §101. Debtor is and elects to be considered a small business under 11			tion for the court's consideration le to pay fee except in install-
USC §1121(e)(Optional)		6(b). See Official	
Statistical/Administrative Information (Estimates Only)			this space is for court use only
Debtor estimates that funds will be available for distribution to			
Debtor estimates that, after any exempt property is excluded a will be no funds available for distribution to unsecured creditor		s pold, there	ر الق
Estimated Number of Creditors			
200-999 81-100.1 B	1000-over		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLERK CLERK OF CO
Estimated Assets \$0 to \$50,001 to \$100,001 to \$500,001 to \$1,000,001 to	\$10,000,001 to \$50,000,001 t	to More than	0.54 34 4. [1]
20,000 \$100,000\$ 000,000 \$1 mliler \$1,400,001 \$2 \$20,000	\$20 willow \$100 willow \$100 willow		EAK COLORADO COLORADO
Estimated Debts	·		
\$0 to \$50,000 \$100,000 \$000,000 \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000 \$100,000 \$1000,000	\$10,000,001 to \$50,000,001 to \$50 million		ر معتسمه م

(Official Form 1) (9/97)		
Voluntary Petition (This page must be completed and filed in every case)	Name of Debtor(s): Voice Ne	
Prior Battletupley Case Filed Within Last 6	ears (If more than one; attached add Case Number:	i((implahest) Date:
Where Filed: None		
Pending Banksupicy Case Filed by any Spouse, Partner or A		to a set of the founder of the control of the contr
Name of Debtor:	Case Number:	Date:
None Relationship:	District:	Judge:
Sign	l Hures	
Signature(s) of Pebtor(s) (Individual/Joint)	The contract of the contract o	orporation/Partnership)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this petition is true and correct. [If petitioner is an individual whose debts are primarily consumer debts and has chosen to file under Chapter 7] I am aware that I may proceed under chapter 7, 11, 12 or 13 of title 11, United States Code, understand the relief available under each such chapter, and choose to proceed under chapter 7.	I declare under penalty of perjury this potition if true and correct, an file this petition on behalf of the de The debtor requests relief in accord United States Code, specified in this	d that I have been authorized to ebtor. Iance with the chapter of title 11,
I request relief in accordance with the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.	Signature of Authorized Individ-	ual
X Signature of Debtor X	Tim_Wetherald Printed Name of Authorized Inc CEO Title of Authorized Individual	
Signature of Joint Debtor	Title of Authorizen Hunvindan Lit-15-00 Date	
Telephone Number (if not represented by attorney)	Date	
Date		
Signature of Attorney Signature of Attorney	Signature of Non-Attor I certify that I am a bankruptcy pe U.S.C. §110, that I propared this do that I have provided the debtor wit	tition preparer as defined in 11 ocument for compensation, and h a copy of this document.
Firm Name	Printed or Typed Name of Bank	ruptcy Petition Preparer
707 17th Street, Suite 2900	Social Security Number	
Denver, CO 80202	Address	
(303) 296-7615 Telephone Number No Vember 14, Jood Date	Names and Social Security number prepared or assisted in preparing the person prepared this document, att	his document: If more than one
Exhibit A (To be completed if debtor is required to file periodic reports (e.g., forms 10K and 10Q) with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is requesting relief under chapter 11.)	conforming to the appropriate office X Signature of Bankruptcy Petition	ial form for each person.
Exhibit A is attached and made a part of this petition.	Date	
Exhibit B (To be completed if debtor is an individual whose debts are primarily consumer debts) I, the attorney for the petitioner named in the foregoing potition, declare that I have informed the petitioner that [he or she] may proceed under chapter 7, 11, 12 or 13 of title 11, United States Code, and have explained the relief available under each such chapter.	A bankruptcy petition preparer's fa provisions of title 11 and the Feder in fines or imprisonment or both. 1	al Rules of Bankruptcy may result
X		
Signature of Attorney for Debtor(s) Date		

FORM B3 (12/02)		20 7	LUEL	5 1	Rr.
United S	tates Bankruptc	y Cou	ירטק	INVOLÜ	NTARY
	District ofC	olorado		PETI	TION
IN RE (Name of Debtor - If Individual: Last, Fit MILE HIGH TELECOM JOINT V		ALL OTHER NAMES used	by debter in the and trade names.)	last 6 years	
SOC. SBC/TAX I.D. NO. (If more than one, at	ne all.)		•		
STREET ADDRESS OF DEBTOR (No. and street	st, city, state, and zip code)	MAILING ADDRESS OF	DEBTOR (If diffe	rent from street	Address)
3025 South Parker Road Suite 1000					
	OF RESIDENCE OR L PLACE OF BUSINESS				
	apahoe	<u> </u>			
LOCATION OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS OF BUSIN	ESS DEBLOK (It different	from previously listed addre	(40E)		
CHAPTER OF BANKRUPTCY CODE UNDER		ED			
Chapter 7	Chapter 11				<u>.</u>
INFORM	IATION REGARDING D	EBTOR (Check applicable	le boxes)		
Petitioners believe: Debts are primarily consumer debts Debts are primarily business debts (complete sections A and B) A. TYPE OF BUSINESS (Check one) A. TYPE OF BUSINESS (Check one) Professional Retail/Wholesale Railroad Transportation Commodity Broker Manufacturing/ Construction Telecommunications services Telecommunications services					
	VENU	3			
 Debtor has been domiciled or has had a preceding the date of this petition or for A bankruptcy case concerning debtor's 	a longer part of such 180	days than in any other Dist	rict.	for 180 days in	nmediately
	NKRUPTCY CASE FILE				
	US DEBTOR (Report info			sheets.)	
Name of Debtor	Case Number		Date		
Relationship	District		Judge	- ,	
1.	n an order for relief may be such debtor's debts as they	entered under title 11	COURT	WHENCE OF COL	Nests
b. Within 120 days preceding the filin receiver, or agent appointed or auth of the property of the debtor for the property, was appointed or took p	or g of this petition, a custodi orized to take charge of les purpose of enforcing a lic	s than substantially all	•	HADO THE	3. II.

FORM 5	Involuntary	Petition
(10/00)		

Name of Debtor	MILE	HIGH	TELECOM	JOINT	<u>VE</u> NTURE
Case No					· · · ·
, •			(court	use only)	

TRANS	FER OF CLAIM	
Check this box if there has been a transfer of any claim again the transfer and any statements that are required under Bank	nst the debtor by or to any petitioner. Attackruptcy Rule 1003(a).	h all documents evidencing
REQUE	ST FOR RELIEF	· ·
Petitioner(s) request that an order for relief be entered against the this petition.	s debtor under the chapter of title 11, United	States Code, specified in
Petitioner(s) declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief. X	Signature of Attorney Den is H. N. WALLER and MARK, P.C. Name of Attorney Pirm (If any) 5105 DTC Pkwy. #450. (Address 303-741-4741 Telephone No.	12-17-02 Tark Date Greenwood Village, CD 8011
Signature of Petitioner or Representative (State title)	Signature of Attorney	Date
Name of Petitioner Date Signed	Name of Attorney Firm (If any)	
Name & Mailing Address of Individual Signing in Representative	Address Telephone No.	
Capacity		
X	x	
Signature of Petitioner or Representative (State title)	Signature of Attorney	Date
Name of Petitioner Date Signed	Name of Attorney Firm (If any)	
Name & Mailing Address of Individual	Address	
Signing in Representative Capacity	Telephone No.	
PETITIONING	CREDITORS	
Name and Address of Petitioner On Systems Technology, LLC 3025 S. Parker Rd. Suite 1000	Nature of Claim General Partner and services rendered	Amount of Claim \$2,500,000.00
Name and Address of Petitioner Aurora, CO 80014	Nature of Claim	Amount of Claim
Name and Address of Petitioner	Nature of Claim	Amount of Claim
Note: If there are more than three petitioners, attach additions penalty of perjury, each petitioner's signature under the and petitioning creditor information in the format above	statement and the name of attorney	Total Amount of Petitioners' Claims \$2,500,000.00

STEVENS-NESS LAW PUBLISHING CO. Portland, Oregon 97264 OT

[NOTE:—These official forms should be ob. /ed and used with such alterations as may . _ppropriate to suit the circumstances. See Rule 9009.]

VOLUNTARY CASE-INDIVIDUAL or JOINT PETITION

	Form No. 1
United States Bankruptcy Court for the	District of Oregon
In re ULTRA COM, INC.	
	7 II
[DEBTOR(S)]	Case #
(List ALL names used by each debtor(s) in last 6 years	SS # (Husband) N/A
INCLUDING assumed business name and AKA's)	SS # (Wife)
None	Ch 7 □; Ch 11 □; Ch 12 □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
	Francis ID #
	Comproyer 1.D. #
	County of Residence
1. Petitioners' post-office address is4147.	SE Division, Portland, OR.97202
has (have) been or debtor(s) principal assets have be longer portion of the preceding 180 days than in any	nave) been domiciled or debtor(s) principal place of business een] within this district for the preceding 180 days [or for so other district]. petition and is (are) entitled to the benefits of Title 11, United
States Code (U.S.C.) as a voluntary debtor (s). 4. [If petitioner is a Corporation filing for reli	ef under Chapter 11 of Title 11, U.S.C.] Exhibit A is attached
to and made a part of this petition. 5. [If appropriate] A copy of petitioners' proportion of the control of th	osed plan, dated
6. [If petitioner is an individual filing for rel	Chapter 11 (or Chapter 12 or Chapter 13) of Title 11, U.S.C.]. lief under Chapter 7 of Title 11, U.S.C. and whose debts are [he or she] may proceed under Chapter 7 or 13 of Title 11,
U.S.C., understands the relief available under each s title.	such Chapter, and chooses to proceed under Chapter 7 of such
primarily consumer dehts and such petitioner is repr form of Exhibit B is attached to and made a part of	ef under Chapter 7 of Title 11, U.S.C. and whose debts are resented by an attorney.] A declaration or an affidavit in the this petition.
Chapter 13] of Title 11, U.S.C.	accordance with Chapter 7 [or Chapter 11 or Chapter 12 or
Sign	ed: Al Phizell
and the second of the second o	
	William J. CLaussen . OSB #69032
	ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER(S) PETITIONER(S) SIGN(S) IF NOT REPRESENTED BY ATTORNEY
	Claussen & Associates P.C.
	PRINT OR TYPE NAME
	1001 Sw Fifth Avenue, Suite 1010
	Portland. OR 97204 (503) 224-7104
I/we,named in the foregoing petition, declare under penal	ty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
	PETITIONER ;
Executed on	
DATE	PETITIONER
HNSWODN DECLAPATION	N UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
	IN OUTDER I ENTALLE OF FERSION

ON BEHALF OF A CORPORATION OR PARTNERSHIP Form No. 5, October 1, 1979

I, ...Iimothy...A. ...Wetherald.........., [the President or other officer or an authorized agent of the corporation] [or a member or an authorized agent of the partnership] named as petitioner in the foregoing petition, certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that the filing of this petition on behalf of the [corporation] [or partnership] has been authorized.

Executed on __August_8,_1989______

Timothy Al Wetherald

SIGNATURE

STEVE IS-NESS LAW PUBLISHING CO.

Ponta	of UNITED ST		BANKRUPTCY CO		CL58K,9.5 8	ANKSUPTCY COURT
			VER SHEET	<u> </u>		and the second
Thi	s form must be attached on top of each petition			8.2	'AO HE	25 //8 : 17
	e TEL-ANALYSIS, INC	`	e #			୍ୟାଣ (ଜୁନ୍ଦ) ବ୍ୟୁ
	(DEBTOR(S))	1			tanger	LA
(Lis INC	t ALL names used by each debtor(s) in last 6 years LUDING assumed business name and AKA's)	Ļ	# (Husband)N # (Wife)N/A		1 33317	DESTRUCTION OF THE STATE OF THE
	dbaTel-Analysis.Network.Management]				CE USE ONLY
	Services		ployer I.D. #93	3-0982054		
•••••		Cou	inty of Residence .	Multnom	ah	
Pla else	tor's Mailing Address	te info wise no 1 🔲 ye	ormation: (NOTE oted): es [] no. Approx	: ALL ques	tions MUST be	answered, or
2.	Check ALL Joint Petition			g Fees Paid i		
	applicable blanks: No Debtor's At TYPE OF CASE (check one box)	torney	11	ication to Pay	y Filing Fees in	Installments
3.	Voluntary Invaluntary		BUSINESS (complete		WAGE EARNER (do no	(woled etelamos to
	COMMENCED UNDER (check one box) ☐ Ch. 7		☐ Individual	•	NIZATION (check one b Partnership , Closely-held Co	
	Estimated No. Creditors (check one)		'	• • •	ox and answer Na. 5 be Mftr./Mining	elow) Real Estate
		000-over	Professional			Other Business
	Estimated Assets (in 000's Dollars) (check one) Under 50 50-99 100-499 500-999 10	100-over			1 and Ch. 12 Only (che	
	Estimated Liabilities (In 000's Dollars) (check one)	100-over	Estimated No. Equity Se		Ch. 11 and Ch. 12 Only	y (check one)
	ANY debts from obligations incurred in cond Briefly describe nature of business:Prov.					
6.	If debtor is CORPORATION, give name and a names and addresses of general partners. I	ddress	of chief executive	officer; if de	btor is PARTNI	ERSHIP, give
_	PC	rtlan	d, OR 97214			
7. 8. 9.	Are debts listed PRIMARILY consumer debts? Total GROSS income of the individual debtor (e Total amount of unsecured debt: \$ 516,645	? Uy 3) for t	es 🐰 no. he last tax year: 🕄	Est.350.00	00 (i.e. before ar	ıy deductions,
	Total Noncontingent, Liquidated Farming Op			•		
	Total GROSS income from farming operation					
1,4,	If a voluntary case and debtor does NOT have tionship of each person who helped debtor in p describe what help was given and any amount	repari	ng any of the ban	kruptcy pape	rs. For each pen	son listed also
13.	Related Bankruptcy Case (if any): None					
	Debtor None	Ω <i>ξΕ</i> : -			Case No	
14.	District Divisional June 26, 1989 Claussen & Ass	ociati	es. P.C.	MUDA	\mathcal{D}_{i}	OSB#69032
	1935 Daycor Ct. S.E., Salem. Oregon 9	7302	any	William"".	Claussers) 3	
ባበኛ	Signature Address (bring or type)			-14	Signer	's Phone Number

STEVENS. NESS LAW PUBLISHING CO.
Portgond, Ourgen CTZCI OF

[NOTE:—These official forms should be occurred and used with such alterations as may be appropriate to suit the circumstances.
See Hule 2009.]

VOLUNTARY CASE INDIVIDUAL of JOINT PETITION

United 5	tates Bankruptcy Court for the	District of Oregon	
Jimed 3		District of	
In re TEL-AN	IALYSIS, INC.		
		Case #	· .
(Tier ATT names	Used by each debtor(s) in his 6 years	SS = (Husband)N/A	***************************************
INCLUDING assu	used by each debtor(s) in last 6 years imed business name and AKA's)	SS # (Wife)N/A	********
dba Te	l-Analysis Network	Ch 7 □: Ch 11 [vi: Ch 12 □	
Ma	unagement Services	Employer I.D. # 93-0982054	*******************************
		County of Residence Multnom	ah
7 70-4:4:	anarr' nost office offices in	S.E. Division Doutland OD O	7202
I. Feuti	oners' post-office address is	S.E. UIVISION, POPULAND, UK 9	LAV.6
has (have) beel longer portion 3. Petiti States Code (U 4. [If peto and made a perimarily constitute. The primarily constitute. The primarily constitute form of Exhibition of Exhibition 1. Petition 1. Petition of Exhibition 1. Petition 1.	oner(s) has (have) resided [or has (han or debtor(s) principal assets have been of the preceding 180 days than in any coner(s) is (are) qualified to file this peristioner is a Corporation filing for relies the peristion of this petition. The propriate of this petition. The propriate of the petition of petitioners of the petition of petitioners of this petition. The propriate of this petition. The propriate of the petitioners of the petitioner is an individual filing for relies and the relief available under each such that the petitioner is an individual filing for relief and the relief available under each such that is a part of the petitioner is a part of the petitioner of the petit	in] within this district for the preced other district]. Itition and is (are) entitled to the benefituder Chapter 11 of Title 11, U.S.C.] and plan, dated	ing 180 days [or for a fits of Title 11, United Exhibit A is attached 3) of Title 11, U.S.C.]. and whose debts are for an affidavit in the
Wherefo	r petitioner(s) pray(s) for relief in ac	cordance with Chapter 7 [or Chapte	r 11 or Chapter 12 or
Chapter 13] of	Title 11, U.S.C.		
	Signed	, Clup	
			/*************************************
		William J. Claussen	OSB 69032
		ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONERIS: PETITIONERIS: SIGNIS: IF NOT REPRE	PETITIONER(S)
		PETITIONER (S) SIGN(S) IF NOT REPRE	SENTED BY ATTORNEY
		Claussen & Associates, P.C.	
		PRINT OR TYPE NA	ME
		1495 Liberty St. S.E.	
		ADDRESS	A Committee of the Comm
		Salem, Oregon 97302	(503) 364-1371
			Зионч
~ ,)	
1/we,	pregoing petition, declare under penalty		the petitioner (s)
named in the ic	pregoing petition, declare under penalty	of perjury that the foregoing is tru	e and correct.
		PETITIONER	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Executed on			
DACCULEG OI	DATE	PETITIONER	
I, Tim	ON BEHALF OF A CORP No. 10 thy A. Wetherald The President The President	UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY PORATION OR PARTNERSHIP 5. Person 1. 1979 ident or other officer or an authorize	d agent of the corpora-
tify under pens of the [corpora	alty of perjury that the foregoing is truction] [or partnership] has been authori	he and correct and that the filling of	
Executed on	June 26, 1989	Together list	160
Val 41444	[DATE]	Time to the state of	SIGNATURE

Timothy A. Wetherald

the same

- United States Bankruptcy Court ----- VOLUNTARY PETITION ---WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE IN RE -----NAME OF JOINT DEBTOR Intranet Communications, Inc. ALL OTHER NAMES -----NO JOINT DEBTOR SOC. SEC./TAX I.D. NO. -----91-1487723 STREET ADDRESS OF DEBTOR -----13228 NE 20th Suite D-3 Bellevue, WA 98005 COUNTY OF PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS MAILING ADDRESS OF DEBTOR -----13228 NE 20th Suite D-3 Bellevue, WA 98005 LOCATION OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS OF BUSINESS DEBTOR VENUE ----Debtor has had its principal place of business in this District for 180 days immediately preceding the date of this petition. ----- INFORMATION REGARDING DEBTOR -----TYPE OF DEBTOR CHAPTER OF BANKRUPTCY CODE Corporation Not Publicly Held UNDER WHICH THE PETITION NATURE OF DEBT IS FILED BUSINESS 11 A. TYPE OF BUSINESS FILING FEE Other Business Filing fee attached B. BRIEFLY DESCRIBE NATURE OF BUSINESS Reseller of telecommunications service STATISTICAL/ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION--ATTORNEY NAME AND ADDRESS--Debtor estimates that there will be Susan Stanley funds available for distribution to Stanley & Nagler unsecured creditors. 1709 Seattle Tower Bldg 1218 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-3065 range (sard code) (206) 464-1453 NO. OF CREDITORS 16-49 (2) ATTORNEYS DESIGNATED TO ASSETS (thousands) 1000-9999 (5) | REPRESENT DEBTOR LIABIL. (thousands) 1000-9999 (5) Susan Stanley NO. OF EMPLOYEES . 17 (1) THIS SPACE FOR COUNTY USE ONLY EQUITY SEC. HOLDERS 1-19 (2) 93-01807

Name of Debtor: Intranet Communications, Case No.:

Debtor intends to file a plan within the time allowed by statute, rule, or order of the court.
PRIOR BANKRUPTCY CASES FILED WITHIN LAST 6 YEARS
PENDING BANKRUPTCY CASE FILED BY PARTNER OR AFFILIATE
NONE
REQUEST FOR RELIEF
Debtor requests relief in accordance with the chapter of title 11 United States Code specified in this petition.
ATTORNEY
HITOKKILI
Ollan W. Staven 3/8/93
Susan Stanley Date
PARTNERSHIP OR CORPORATE DEBTOR I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this petition is true and correct, and that the filing of this petition on behalf of the debtor has been authorized.
INTRANET COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
BY Tim Wetherald, President 7/9/93 Date
EXHIBIT A
Exhibit A is attached and made a part of this Petition.

Cu ch

LP. STEPHERSON, OLK.
U.S. BANKSON, OLK.
W.O. OF WWO DER, OLK.

M

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In Re:

NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS,

TAX I.D. NO. 91-1486085

Debtor.

ио.

92-05044

INVOLUNTARY PETITION

- All Other Names used by debtor in the last six years
 (Include married, maiden, and trade names):
 - Street address of debtor:

3210 Westin Building 2001 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98121

- 3. County of Residence or Principal Place of Business: King County.
- 4. Debtor's mailing address, including county, is 3210 Westin Building, 2001 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, King County, Washington, 98121
- 5. Location of Principal Assets of Business Debtor (if different from previously listed addresses): see above
 - 6. Chapter of Bankruptcy Code Under Which Petition is Filed:

X	Chapter	7
-----	---------	---

___ Chapter 11

INFORMATION REGARDING DEBTOR

Petitioners Believe:

Debts are primarily consumer debts

Debts are primarily business debts (complete sections A and B)

INVOLUNTARY PETITION - |





Type of Debtor:			
Individual Partnership Corporation Publ Corporation Not Other			
A. Type of Business:	Telecommunication ser	rvices	
B. Briefly Describe N services	ature of Business: Pr	rovides telecommu	nication
	VENUE		
PENDING BANKRUPT	principal assets in the date of this pet than in any other Di e concerning debtor's	the District for a lation or for a lation or for a lation is affiliate, general District. AGAINST ANY PARTN	180 days onger ral
	for any additional ca		sheets.)
Name of Debtor:			
Relationship:			
Case Number:			
District:			
Date:			
Judge:			
	ALLEGATIONS		

1. X Petitioner(s) are eligible to file this petition pursuant

INVOLUNTARY PETITION -2

to 11 U.S.C. § 303(b).

- 2. The debtor is a person against whom an order for relief may be entered under title 11 of the United States Code.
- 3. The debtor is generally not paying such debtor's debts as they become due, unless such debts are the subject of a bona fide dispute; or

____ Within 120 days preceding the filing of this petition, a custodian, other than a trustee, receiver, or agent appointed or authorized to take charge of less than substantially all of the property of the debtor for the purpose of enforcing a lien against such property, was appointed or took possession.

TRANSFER OF CLAIM

Check the box if there has been a transfer of any claim against the debtor by or to any petitioner. Attach all documents evidencing the transfer and any statements that are required under Bankruptcy Rule 1003(a).

Petitioner requests that an order for relief be entered against the debtor under the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

Petitioner declares under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the Best of their knowledge, information and belief.

* .

 $M_{\rm tot}$

Signature of Petitioner or Representative (State title)

By: Frederick A. Epler, Vice Pres. of

Name of Petitioner MetroLink Investmen
Company, Inc., Partner in US MetroLin
Name of Petitioner: US MetroLink Company
Name and Mailing Address of
Individual Signing in

F. A. Epler

325 118th Ave. SE., #300

Representative Capacity

Bellevue, WA 98005

06/18/1992 15:56 FOOM HILLIS CLARK

TO

4641496 P.82

William W. Hague Signature of Attorney

William W. Hague

Name of Attorney/Firm

(if any) Monroe, Stokes, Eitelbach & Lawrence

Address and Telephone Number

800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4000

Seattle, WA. 98104

(206)626-6000

TO

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Petitioner(s) request that an order for relief be entered against the debtor under the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

Petitioner declares under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of their knowledge, information and belief.

Signature of Petitioner President or Representative (State title)

Com Systems, Inc.
Name of Petitioner

Name and Mailing Address of Individual Signing in Representative Capacity

2829 Townsgate Road, Suite 200

Westlake Village, CA 91361

Gris D. Lamarel Signature of Attorney

Eric D. Lansverk
Hillis Clark Martin & Potoson
Name of Attorney/Firm
(if any)

Address and Telephone Number

1221 Scand Ave Suite 500 Seattle WA 98101-2925 (206) 623-1745

116506

INVOLUNTARY PETITION - (

Petitioner(s) request that an order for relief be entered against the debtor under the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

Petitioner declares under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of their knowledge, information and belief.

Signature of Petitioner or Representative (State title)

Michael Revson/Riddell Williams Name of Attorney/Firm (if any)

Address and Telephone Number

Signature of Attorney

MARK HOTELIEUS SOO TOWER BLOD SEATTLE MA, SOT 98101

Name of Petitioner

Name and Mailing Address

in Representative Capacity

of Individual Signing

1001 4th Ave. Plaze Suite 4400 Seattle, WA 98154 (206) 624-3600

Petitioner(s) request that an order for relief be entered against the debtor under the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

Petitioner declares under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of their knowledge, information and belief.	
Signature of Petitioner	Signature of Attorney
or Representative (State title)	priducents or weconiel
Name of Petitioner Duracom, Inc.	Name of Attorney/Firm (if any)
Name and Mailing Address of Individual Signing in Representative Capacity	Address and Telephone Number
11410 NE 124th #360	
Kirkland, -WA 980341	

Petitioner(s) request that an order for relief be entered against the debtor under the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

Petitioner declares under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of their knowledge, information and belief.

Signature of Petitioner or Representative (State title)

Be Computed Rentuls, Suc.

Name and Mailing Address of Individual Signing in Representative Capacity

HOGER WENTZER 14140 NE. 215 ST. BELLEVILE, WA. 98007 Francis
Signature of Attorney

Name of Attorney/Firm
(if any)

Address and Telephone Number

7310 E. Greenfalce Dr.N. Seattle, WA- 98115 525-6601

PETITIONING CREDITORS

Name and Address of Petitioner:

Com Systems, Inc. Westlake Plaza Center Two 2829 Townsgate Road, Suite 200 Westlake Village, CA 91361

Nature of Claim: Unsecured business debt

Amount of Claim: \$258,821.28

Name and Address of Petitioner:

US Metrolink Company 325 118th Ave SE Suite 300 Bellevue, WA 98005

Nature of Claim: Judgment

Amount of Claim: \$268,508.61

Name and Address of Petitioner:

Oz Computer Rentals, Inc. 14140 NE 21st Street Bellevue, WA 98007

Nature of Claim: Unsecured business debt

Amount of Claim: \$834.29

Name and Address of Petitioner:

The Vance Corporation 300 Tower Building Seattle, WA 98101

Nature of Claim: Unsecured debt owed to landlord

Amount of Claim: \$32,958.77

Name and Address of Petitioner:

Duracom, Inc. 11410 NE 124th Street Suite 360 Kirkland, Washington 98034

Nature of Claim: Unsecured business debt

Amount of Claim: \$771.37

Total Amount of Petitioners' Claims: \$561,894.32

Dated: June 19, 1992

From: To: Date: Subject:	"Dettling, Hans" <ndettling@secstate.wa.gov> 'Dawn Wilson' <dwilson@admin.cc.state.az.us> 4/1/04 3:12PM RE: Inactive WA Corporation - Network Communications, Inc.</dwilson@admin.cc.state.az.us></ndettling@secstate.wa.gov>	
Here is what I fou	und in our database:	
Account was diss	solved on: 08/19/1996	
0.1/0.4/0.004	CORPORATION INFORMATION	
04/01/2004 CINF BUS203P	1 OWNERSHIP - CORPORATION/LLC/LLP 14:12	
 UBI: 601 251 507	7 001 Application ID: 95 131 1123	
	ROFIT CORPORATION	
Name: NE	TWORK COMMUNICATIONS, INC	
Reg Agent: Ro	OBERT LEPPALUOTO	
Office Addr: 78	21 MAPLE AVE	
City: VANC	COUVER State: WA Zip: 98664	
Alt Addr Flag:		
140_ Account Num:	e: 05 31 1996 ENDRS Status: T Bus Status: A ENDRS Type: 2427 9150 SSO Inc Date: 05 25 1990 SSO CATEGORY:	
REG Tenure: PE	ER SSO Inc State: WA	
	:======================================	=======================================
CINF GPI202P	CORPORATE OFFICER SCREEN 14:12	
 UBI: 601 251 507 1123	7 Bus ID: 001 Loc ID: Appl ID: 95 131	

				
Title: OFFIC ER	· .	08 15 1990		
LAG1240 Last: NYE		F: BRIAN	M:	· ·
Addr: UAL BLDG STE 911				- -
City: SEATTLE	_ St: WA Zip:	98121		
Title: OFFIC ER		08 15 1990		
LAG1240 Last: WETHERALD		F: TIM	M:	
Addr: 800 5TH AVE STE 351_				
City: SEATTLE	_St: WA Zip:	98104		
Title: OFFIC ER	·	08 15 1990		
LAG1240 Last: LEPPALUOTO	· .	F: CPT	M: A	
Addr: 7821 MAPLE AVE				<u>-</u>
City: VANCOUVER				
===				

If you need more information, we have to order the "dead" file from our records center, which normally takes a few days. Please let me know.

Hans Dettling Corporations Division Office of the Secretary of State Washington State

-----Original Message-----

From: Dawn Wilson [mailto:DWilson@admin.cc.state.az.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2004 12:45 PM

To: corps@secstate.wa.gov

Subject: Inactive WA Corporation - Network Communications, Inc.

I am inquiring as to whether you can assist us or direct us to the proper place.

The Arizona Corporation Commission has a complaint proceeding going against a person we believe was tied into the above company. However, since it is so old, I am unable to pull anything up through the internet. There was an involuntary bankruptcy for it in 1992. We are trying to connect this company to a Tim Wetherald. Do you have any filings of incorporation for this company? And if so, is there a listing of officers which might include Mr. Wetherald? Or anything referencing Mr. Wetherald?

The company went by Network Communications, Inc., tax ID 91-1486085. There appears to be another company by this name that incorporated in 1992 from Georgia. This company would have been prior to that.

Dawn A. Wilson Paralegal, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission (602) 542-3995

This footnote confirms that this email message has been scanned to detect malicious content.

If you experience problems, please contact postmaster@ccsd.cc.state.az.us

Apr-03-02

03:42pm

1

2

3

4

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

CTPPO

cuaŢ_g

1.60

∑!:₹B

CPIN ACCTO

EXH ZA

From-DIEST LEGAL DEPARTMENT

+3032924668

T-889 P.002

F-284

MAR - 8 1995

FILED

95 HAR -7 PH L: 13

KING TOWART!

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

THE COUNTY OF KING

STATE OF WASHINGTON.

Plaintiff.

NO. 94-2-21036-0

GTI TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., 2 Washington Corporation, formerly d/b/a GENESIS TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.; TIMOTHY ALAN WETHERALD. individually and as its owner, principal officer and CEO, ALEC SPENCER, individually and as its Director of Associate Relations, and Christina C. WEIHERALD, on behalf of the marical community; TEMPEST INDUSTRIES, LIMITED, a Washington conporation, JOYCE I SPENCER, individually and as its part owner and principal otherr, GERALD SPENCER, Individually and as in pair owner and key employee, ALEC SPENCER, individually and as its part owner and principal officer, and IANE DOE SPENCER, on behalf of the marital

CONSENT DECREE WITH . DEFENDANTS GTI TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND TIMOTHY ALAN WEIHERALD

Defoodents

I. JUDGMENT SUMMARY-

1.1. Judgment Creditor: State of Washington

1.7. Judgment Deblots: GTI Telecommunications, Inc., Eld/bla Genesis Telecommunications, Inc.; Timothy Alan Wetherald

Principal Judgment:

-0- Injunctions; (\$200,000.00 Suspended Civil Penalties)

Consent Decree Page 1

community,

Charlest Physical

VILLOWER GENERAL A MUMBHOUSE DOD FOURTH AVENUE STOLES SEON SEATTLE WASHINGTON 981441011 (206) 454-7744

+3032824666

T-888 P.008 F-284

		From-QMEST	IFGAL	DEPARTMENT
10-E0-104	D3:42pm	1100-80791	PP #1/2	

	Percent interest on principal judgment:	12% per a	របារាជា j	from the	date of entry
1.4.	Learning minters and Laurent	•	1.:		

- 1,5. Amorney for Judgment ereditor. Janet D. Reis, Assistant Amorney General
- 1.6. Attorney for judgment debtors: Pro Se

4

Ś

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

3

Plaintiff, State of Washington, having commenced this action pursuant to Chapter 19.86 RCW, the Consumer Business Practices — Consumer Protection Act, and the Defendants GII Telecommunications, Inc. f/d/b/a Genesis Telecommunications, Inc. (hereinafter "GII"); and Timothy Alan Wetherald; having been duly served copies of the Summons and Complaint herein; and Plaintiff appearing through its attorneys Christine O. Gregoira, Attorney General, Sally R. Gustafson, Senior Assistant Attorney General, and Janet D. Reis, Assistant Attorney General; Defendants named above appearing pro se; and said parties to this action having walved Notice of Presentation of this Consent Decree;

Plaintiff and the above named Defendants having stipulated and agreed upon a basis for the adjudication of the matters alleged in the Complaint herein, and the entry of this Consent Decree against the above-named Defendants; and the Court having determined that there is no just reason for delay in entry of a final judgment as to the above-named Defendants and having directed entry of this Consent Decree as to the Defendants;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

20

21

72

23

24

IL GENERAL

2.1. Jurisdiction. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and of the parties. The plaintiffs Complaint in this matter states chains upon which relief may be granted under the provisions of the Consumer Protection Act, Chapter 19.86 RCW. The Anomay General has jurisdiction to bring this action under the provisions of Chapter 19.86 RCW.

25 26

Consent Decree

Charles and Trailed

ATTOMET CENTELL OF WASHINGTON OF SOME DATE OF SOME DATE OF SOME DATE OF SOME O

2

3

4

5

б

.8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

udilli Heriod

. Apr-03-07 DS:42pm From DEST LEGAL DEPARTMENT

+9082824666

T-888 P. DO4/011 F-234

	2.1.	Defend	lants.	Αa	पळ्यं	berein,	the	स्या	*Def	icnda.	'	shall	wen	GII
1	(ප්පපාත්ත	ications,	Inc. (G	II) f	/d/b/a	Genesis	Tele	्राताता ०००	micat	ions,	Inc.	and T	imothy	العالم
ì	Werherald.					•			.					

- 2.3. Notice. Defendants shall inform their officers; agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with them of the provisions of this Consent Decree and shall direct those persons to comply with this Consent Decree.
- 2.4. <u>Non-Endorsement</u> Under no circumstances shall this Consent Decree or the names of the State of Washington, the Office of the Attorney General, the Consumer Protection Division, or any of their employees or representatives, be used by Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, attorneys, or other persons acting in content or participation with them in connection with any selling, advertising, or promotion of produces or services or as an endorsement or approval of any of the defendants' acts, practices, or methods of conducting business.

III. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1. Application of Injunctions. The injunctive provisions of dis Consent Decree shall apply to Defendants, their successors, transferrers, assigns, officers, agents, servants, employees; representatives, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with them.
- 3.2. Injunctions. Defendants and all successors, representatives, assigns, transferres, agents, servants, subsidiaries, affiliates, employees, officers and all other persons in acting, or claiming to act for, on behalf of, in participation with, or in concert with any of the above-named Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained in the State of Washington as follows:
- A. Defendant is prohibited from engaging in any of the following practices, either directly or indirectly, through the ownership of, operation of, management of, or employment

Concent Decree

stortometalizate

COM APPLIED AND STRAINGTON AND STRAINGTON AVENUE SHITE STEEL AVENUE AND STRAINGTON AND STRAINGTON AVENUE STEEL ASSESSMENT OF MARSHATTON AVENUE ASSESSMENT ASSE

ADY-03-02 05:420m From-CHEST LEGAL DEPARTMENT

+308292486B

T-889 P.008/011 F-294

2

3

5

6

4

7 8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

24

25

25 26 or any other participation arrangement with or by any individual or entity which sells, directly or indirectly, interstate or intrastate long distance telecommunications services:

- (1) selling long distance services for which tariffs have not been filed and approved as required by the Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission and/or under applicable statutes of any other state or of the United States:
- (2) failing to provide any material information to any bone fide supplier of intrastate or interstate long distance or "1-800" services when seeking to obtain said services for the purposes of resale to any Washington resident, which material information could reasonably be expected to be considered by said supplier(s) in determining whether to provide service;
- (3) reselling long distance services by any method to any Washington resident without having first obtained a written agreement or comparable document, from the supplier(s) of originating long distance service which sets forth with specializity the terms and conditions of the provision of service to the Defendant, Defendant's firm, Defendant's agent, Defendant's employer, Defendant's employer, or any independent representative acting in concert with or at the direction of the Defendant, for resale to members of the general public;
- (4) directly or indirectly selling any long distance product or service to any Washington resident through use of independent sales representatives who are required to pay a fee before obtaining the right to sell said product or service without baving first provided said sales representatives with a complete description of the basis for the fee, which description identifies the actual costs for products or other services provided to said sales representatives in exchange for the payment made;
- (5) falling to maintain an internal bookkeeping system which, at a minimum, identifies all accounts payable, all accounts receivable, and maintains account histories

Concept Decree Page 4

المتاعلا الالاسميانية

ATTORNET CHARACTER WARRACTOR
SOCI POURTH AVENUE, BUTT 2000
SECTELE, WARRACTOR PRINTALIU)

(200) BEC-1744

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

74

26

". Apr-03-02" 03:42pe From-QVEST LEGAL DEPARTMENT

+3032824558

T-860 P.008/011 F-734

for each consumer of long distance services which accurately reflect services rendered and payments made by consumers.

(6) establishing customer accounts and billing individuals or accepting payment in advance of services rendered, with the exception of any account activation or similar fee, disclosed in advance to customers, provided that such activation fie is not billed or accepted until customers are able to access the services as represented;

(7) representing to any prospective or actual customer or sales representative that long distance services are available or that access to long distance services is available on a reliable basis from certain suppliers of service when the services are not so accessible or available from the service providers identified;

- (8) falling to identify, to any prospective or actual customer or sales representative, all providers of long distance and/or related services that have been contracted with or are otherwise obligated to provide services to customers:
- (9) failing to timely pay all providers of long distance service for all services rendered on terms and conditions required by said providers such that discontinuation or denigration of long distance service to any Washington resident occurs as a proximate result of said failure to pay or meet required terms.
- B. In the event Defendant Wetherald, directly or indirectly, becomes employed or enters into any other participation arrangement with any individual or entity which solls, directly or indirectly. Interstate or intrastate long distance belecommunications services, Defendant shall provide complete and legible copies of the Complaint filed in this matter and this Consent Decree prior to his employment or other participation.

IV. CIVIL PENALTIES

4.1. Civil Pensines. Pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, the plaintiff State shall recover and Defendants Tim Wetherald and GII Telecommunications, Inc. shall be jointly and

Common Decree Page 5

والمالالالمامسماميا

ATTORNEY CENTRAL OF WARRINGTON
POOL FOLKTH AVENUE, SERVE TIES
STATILE, WARRINGTON PERSONNEY
ELONG 454-7744

Apr-03-02 03:42pm From-QNEST LEGAL DEPARTMENT

+3037874666

T-869 P.007/011 F-284

soverally liable for a civil penalty of \$200,000,000. These civil penalties are suspended conditioned on full compliance with all provisions of this Consent Decree.

1---

Y. ENFORCEMENT

- 5.1. Compliance. For the purposes of determining or securing compliance with this Consent Decree, representatives of the Office of the Attorney General shall be permitted, upon reasonable notice to Defendants:
 - a. Access during regular office hours for inspection and copying of any and all records or documents in the actual or constructive possession of Defendants regarding any matters contained in or related to this Consent Decree; and
 - b. To question or depose Defendants and any officer, director, agent, employee, representative or independent contractor of Defendant regarding any matters contained in or related to this Content Deque.
- 5.2. Invisdiction Retained. Invisdiction of the Anomey General and the Court over Defendants is remined for the purpose of enabling Plaintiff to apply to the Court at any time for the enforcement of compliance with and recovery of the relief provided for in this Consent Decree.
- 5.3. <u>Violation</u>. The violation of any of the terms of this Consent Decree shall constitute a violation of an injunction for which civil penalties of up to \$25,000.00 per violation may be sought by the Aumrney General pursuant to RCW 19.86.140 in addition to such other remedies as may be provided by law for violation of an injunction.
- 5.4. Enforcement Fees and Costs. Defendant shall bear all of plaintiff's costs, including reasonable another's fees, of enforcing this Consent Decree should action, including collection to enforce any provision, become necessary.
- 5.5. Private Action. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as a limit of a bar to any other person or chiry in the pursuit of available remedies.

Consum Decree

المالية الالسيميمان

Attorner Observe of Wardsonon 700 Pounce Avenue, June 2004 Shatter, Warrendton 22184-1012 (206) 464-7744

~~×<

2 3

ville.

Arie im fathalt

4. **5**

7 .

б

9

10 11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

. Apr-03-01

i jeko

03:43pm From QNEST LEGAL DEPARTMENT

+9032824688

T-889 P.DD8/011 F-234

1	YI. DISMISSAL
2	6.1. Defendants voluntarily dismiss, with prejudice, all counterclaims stated in their
3	Answers.
4	6.2. Dismissal of Action. Except as provided above, this proceeding is in all other
5	respects dismissed as to defendants GII Telecommunications, Inc. and Timothy Alan
6	Weiherald, upon entry of this Consent Decree. There is no just reason for delay and the
7	Clerk of the Court is directed to enter this Judgment as to Defendants GII
8	Telecommunications, Inc. and Timothy Alan Wetherald.
9	DATED this 712 day of Formery, 1995.
10	John Windows
11	FEETE/COURT COMMISSIONER
12	Agreed to and Approved for Entry by: Agreed to; Approved as to Form; Notice of Presentation Waived By:
13	
14	CHRISTINE O. GREGOIRE
15	Attorney General SALLY R. GUSTAFSON
16	Senior Assistant Attorney General
17	publis tout
18	JANET D. REIS WSBA # 12799 TIMOTHA ALAN WETHERALD, Assistant Attorney General Individually, on behalf of his marital
19	Anomeys for Plainuff community, and on behalf of GTI State of Washington Telecommunications, Inc., formerly
20	d/b/a Genesia Telecommunications,
21	
22	
23	
~	
7-	
42	
40	

Consent Decrees Page 7

me harry the second second

COUNTY OF GROWN OF WARRINGTON 900 POURTH AVENUE, SUITE ZOOR SEATTLE, WARRENTON ST 144-1012 SEATTLE, WARRENTON ST 144-1012

1 7

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON 1691 Har -7 TH 1:53 MULTNOMAH COUNTY 2.. HULTHUMAR COURTY DISTRICT COURT STATE OF OREGON, ex rel DAVE No. A8907-04289 FROHNMAYER, Attorney General of the State of Oregon, STIPULATED MONEY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION AGAINST 5 Plaintiff. DEFENDANTS TIM WETHERALD, TELANALYSIS, INC. AND TIM WETHERALD; TELANALYSIS, INC., 6 an Oregon corporation; ULTRACOM, ULTRACOM, INC. INC., an Oregon corporation, 8 Defendants. 9 l. Judgment shall be entered for the plaintiff and against 10 11 defendants Tim Wetherald, Telanalysis, Inc. and Ultracom, Inc. 12 jointly and severally in the amount of \$18,000 for restitution. 13 Aditionally, a civil penalty of \$10,000 shall be paid, subject to 14 the terms and conditions of the attached "Covenant not to 15 Execute. " Each of defendants shall be liable jointly and 16 severally in this judgment, and interest shall accrue at a rate 17 of 9 percent per annum from this date forward, except as provided 18 in the Stipulation, Waiver and Order Re Confirmation of Chapter 19 13 Plan of Timothy Allen Wetherald. 20 MONEY JUDGMENT 21 Judgment Creditor: STATE OF OREGON, Department of Justice 1) 1515 SW/5th AVENUE SUITE 410 PORTLAND, OR 97201 PHONE (503) 229-6725 22 Judgment Creditor's Attorney: James A. Prunty State of Oregon 23 Tim Wetherald, Ultracom, Inc., 3) Judament Debtors: 24 Telanalysis, Inc. (jointly and severally) 25 4) Principal Amount of Judgment: \$18,000.00 26 Prejudgment Interest: None. Page 1 - MONEY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS TIM WETHERALD, TELANALYSIS, INC. AND ULTRACOM, INC.

2.

5

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

UCTAN HERENT OF J 1515 SW 5IN AVENUE SUITE 410 FORTLAND, OR 97201 PHONE (503) 229-5725 8) Postjudgment simple interest at the rate of 9 percent per annum on the total money judgment which consists of item 4 from the date judgment is entered until fully paid.

INJUNCTION

2.

Defendant, Tim Wetherald, his agents, associates or employees

are restrained and enjoined from selling long distance telephone

services in the State of Oregon for three (3) years from the date

this Order is signed.

Defendants Tim Wetherald, Telanalysis, Inc. and Ultracom, Inc.

are permanently enjoined and restrained from violating the

Oregon Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS 646.605 through ORS

646.656.

Defendants Tim Wetherald, Telanalysis, Inc. and Ultracom, Inc. are permanently enjoined and restrained directly or indirectly from collecting costs of long distance telephone services from consumers in advance unless they have the actual present ability, both technically and legally, to provide promised services to consumers. Defendants are also permanently enjoined and restrained directly or indirectly from collecting up-front fees for goods and services related to the provision of long distance or local telephone services without escrowing those fees pending delivery of promised goods or services.

Page 2 - MONEY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS TIM WETHERALD, TELANALYSIS, INC. AND ULTRACOM, INC.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

5.

Defendants Tim Wetherald, Telanalysis, Inc. and Ultracom, Inc. are permanently enjoined directly or indirectly from handling, processing, depositing, cashing, or directing the handling, processing, depositing or cashing of customer payments for long distance equipment or services sold in the State of Oregon for three (3) years from the date this Order is signed.

6.

Defendants Tim Wetherald, Telanalysis, Inc. and Ultracom, Inc. are enjoined directly or indirectly from the assignment, allocation, distribution, use or directing the assignment, allocation, distribution or use of authorization codes and access codes issued by long distance telephone service providers or at the direction of long distance telephone service providers on behalf of or for the benefit of Oregon consumers for a period of three (3) years from the date this Order is signed.

7.

Defendants Tim Wetherald, Telanalysis, Inc. and Ultracom, Inc. are enjoined for a period of three (3) years from the date of this Order from being signatories to bank accounts into which payments from Oregon consumers for long distance telephone services and equipment are directly or indirectly deposited, placed or transferred.

26 111

25

Page 3 - MONEY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS TIM WETHERALD, TELANALYSIS, INC. AND ULTRACOM, INC.

2 Defendant Tim Wetherald shall be enjoined for a period of three (3) years from the date of this Order from owning, operating, directing the operation of, acting as an officer for or serving as a director or signatory for any telecommunications entity selling long distance services or equipment in the State of Oregon. For three (3) years from the date of this Order, Defendant also agrees to have no control, either directly or indirectly or by proxy, over corporate or 10 business bank accounts for any telecommunications entity 11 selling services in the State of Oregon. Defendant Wetherald 12 shall not act as an employee in any manner inconsistent with 13 paragraphs 2 through 8 of this Order for a period of three (3) 14 years from the date of this Order. 15 . 9.

8.

Defendant Tim Wetherald shall keep on record with the Financial Fraud Section of the Oregon Department of Justice for the next three (3) years a current address where he can promptly be reached through the United States mail.

20 111

21 111

1615 SW Sh AVENUE SUITE 410 PORTLAND, OR 87201 PHONE (\$03) 229-5125 77 111

16

17

18

19

1

111

111 25

111 26

111

Page

4 - MONEY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS TIM WETHERALD, TELANALYSIS, INC. AND ULTRACOM, INC.

1 10. , . . **2** Defendant Tim Wetherald shall be permanently enjoined 3 from violating the requirements set out in ORS 759.001, et seq., OAR Chapter 32, and ORS 646.605 et seq. 5 11. 6 Judgment is entered. There is no just reason for delay. 7 Judgment is ordered entered forthwith. ORCP 67B. 8 IT IS SO ORDERED this 9 10 W Circuit Court Judge Prepared by: 11 James A. Prunty #84128 Assistant Attorney General 12 Oregon Department of Justice Financial Fraud Section 13 100 Justice Building Salem, OR 97310 14 (503) 378-4732 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1915 SW 5th AVENUE SUITE 410 FORTLAND, OR 97201 PHONE [503] 229-5726 75 75 76 77 25 26 Page - MONEY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS TIM

WETHERALD, TELANALYSIS, INC. AND ULTRACOM, INC.

jap/4866I/1-5/2747Y



STATE OF OREGON County of Eultoonesh The foregoing copy has been compared and is certified by one as a full, true and correct copy of the original in my office and in my custody. In Testimony Whereat, I have hereunto set

my hand and affixed the seal of the

Court on: CIRCUIT COURT

Defendants.

1

5

9

) in resource by LK

- 10 This matter came before the court on application of
- 11 plaintiff for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendant, Tim
- 12 Wetherald, Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court for
- 13 Violation of a Preliminary Injunction issued by this court on
- 14 August 21, 1989. A hearing was held before the Honorable
- 15 Robert L. Kirkman, Acting Circuit Court Judge, on Friday,
- 16 February 9. Monday. February 12, Tuesday. February 13, Friday.
- 17 February 16 and Tuesday, February 20, 1990. Plaintiff appeared
- 18 through James A. Prunty, Assistant Attorney General, and
- 19 defendant. Tim Wetherald, was represented by counsel,
- 20 Douglas G. Combs. The court heard the testimony of 25
- 21 witnesses and reviewed 25 exhibits entered into evidence. The
- 22 court FINDS as follows:
- Defendant Tim Wetherald had knowledge of an August 21,
- 24 1989 Preliminary Injunction Order issued by this court.
- Defendant Tim Wetherald continued to sell long distance
- 26 services and collect fees and other charges up-front from
- Page 1 ORDER OF CONTEMPT

- 1 Oregon consumers before entering into a written agreement with
- ² Universal Communications, Inc. to provide those services on
- 3 September 8, 1989.
- Defendant Tim Wetherald collected fees and other
- 5 charges up-front from consumers on a number of occasions
- 6 without forwarding those fees to Universal Communications as
- 7 required by the contract, reflected in Exhibit 11. Defendant
- 8 Tim Wetherald knew there were problems regarding the
- 9 authorization codes being sent to consumers yet continued to
- 10 sell and collect up-front fees without regard to whether such
- services could be provided.
- 12 4. Defendant Tim Wetherald's conduct in selling, marketing
- 13 and collecting up-front fees from consumers was frequent and
- 14 not accidental, but intentional. After the contract with
- 15 Universal Communications was abrogated in October 1989.
- 16 defendant Tim Wetherald did not take adequate and reasonable
- 17 steps to assure that National Telephone Company had the
- 18 technical or legal ability to provide the services being
- 19 marketed and sold by Tim Wetherald.
- 20 5. Defendant Tim Wetherald continued to sell long distance
- 21 telephone services and collect money up-front from consumers
- 22 for long distance telephone services at times when Tim
- 23 Wetherald did not have the present ability to provide such
- 24 services in October, November and December, 1989.
- 6. Defendant Tim Wetherald has collected fees from Oregon
- 26 consumers for long distance telephone services without
- Page 2 ORDER OF CONTEMPT

- 1 delivering said services or refunding the money received for
- 2 said services. This was a violation of ORS 646.607(2) and the
- 3 August 21, 1989 Preliminary Injunction Order.
- Defendant Tim Wetherald failed to disclose that the
- 5 dialers and redialers being sold to consumers along with long
- 6 distance services being marketed were not necessary as a
- 7 precondition to using long distance telephone services. This
- 8 was a violation of ORS 646.608(1)(e) in that defendant failed
- 9 to disclose the true benefits and characteristics of said
- 10 equipment. It was also a violation of the August 21, 1989
- II Preliminary Injunction Order.
- 8. Defendant Tim Wetherald collected up-front registration
- 13 fees and phone service fees on a number of occasions. Those
- 14 fees were not forwarded to Universal Communications. Inc. The
- 15 payment of these fees was misrepresented to consumers and
- 16 violated ORS 646.608(1)(a) and (e). This also constituted a
- 17 violation of the August 21, 1989 Preliminary Injunction Order.
- Defendant Tim Wetherald collected money up-front for
- 19 telephone services and registration costs while promising to
- 20 deliver those services within a particular time period with the
- 21 intent not to deliver them as promised, in violation of ORS
- 22 646.608(1)(q). Consumers who paid these fees up front were not
- 23 told initially that they would be put on a waiting list. This
- 24 also constituted a violation of the August 21, 1989 Preliminary
- 25 Injunction Order.
- 26 ///
- Page 3 ORDER OF CONTEMPT

- 1 10. Defendant Tim Wetherald marketed and sold the long
- 2 distance telephone services of National Telephone Company Long
- 3 Distance Division at times when that company had neither the
- 4 legal or technical ability to provide those services. This was
- 5 a violation of ORS 646.608(1)(e) and (t), and the August 21,
- 6 1989 Preliminary Injunction Order.
- 7 11. Defendant Tim Wetherald is the principal of American
- 8 Long Distance. American Long Distance has no certificate of
- 9 authority or present ability to supply the services being
- 10 marketed and sold through Tim Wetherald, his associates and
- II agents. This was a violation of ORS 646.608(1)(a). (b). (c).
- 12 (i). (j) and (k). and the August 21. 1989 Preliminary
- 13 Injunction Order.
- 14 12. Defendant Tim Wetherald collected re-connection fees
- 15 from former subscribers to his long distance telephone services
- 16 while failing to disclose that these charges were not required
- 17 by Universal Communications. Inc. in violation of ORS
- 18 646.3608(1)(a) and (t) and the August 21, 1989 Preliminary
- 19 Injunction Order.
- 20 13. Plaintiff has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that
- 21 defendant Tim Wetherald intentionally violated the Preliminary
- 22 Injunction Order of August 21, 1989, as charged in
- 23 subparagraphs (1). (2) and (3) of paragraph 3 of plaintiff's
- 24 motion. The "beyond reasonable doubt" standard has been met as
- 25 to these counts.
- 26 ///
- rage 4 ORDER OF CONTEMPT

- 1 14. As to the general allegation of "count 4" of paragraph
- 2 3 of the Motion, the allegation is so general that the court is
- 3 not willing to find Mr. Wetherald guilty of contempt beyond a
- 4 reasonable doubt. Therefore, there will be a finding of
- 5 Non-Guilty as to count 4.
- 6 15. Each of the allegations standing alone, if proven,
- 7 would support the imposition of the sanctions set forth under
- 8 ORS 33.010.
- 9 16. The Attorney General in bringing actions under the
- 10 Unlawful Trade Practices Act (ORS 646.605 et seq) stands in the
- 11 shoes of the people of the State of Oregon. The defendant, Tim
- 12 Wetherald, by violating the August 1989 Preliminary Injunction
- 13 prejudiced the rights of the people of the State of Oregon in
- 14 this action. Thus, the defendant is subject to the sanctions
- 15 set forth in ORS 33.020(1) of \$300.00 and/or imprisonment for
- 16 six months for each violation.
- 17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
- That defendant Tim Wetherald is in contempt of this court's
- 19 August 21, 1989 Order. Defendant having waived his statutory
- 20 right to delay sentencing 48 hours, it is the order of this
- 21 court that for purposes of this proceeding allegations 1, 2 and
- 22 3 (counts 1. 2 and 3) merged for sentencing. The defendant Tim
- Wetherald will be sentenced to 90 days in jail, the execution
- 24 of which is suspended and the defendant is placed on two years
- 25 Bench Probation subject to the conditions announced in open
- 26 court except that the fine will be \$300.00 vice \$400.00. The
- Page 5 ORDER OF CONTEMPT

Page

- ORDER OF CONTEMPT jan/0968v6-11

```
fine will be due, in full, on June 1, 1990. As a condition of
     this probation, defendant is required to obey the Preliminary
     Injunction Order of August 21, 1989 and the Preliminary
     Injunction Order of Pebruary 20, 1990 in addition to scrupulous
     compliance with the Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS $46.605,
     et seq.
          Dated this __/
  7
                                day of
  9
                                              Robert L.
                                                           Kirkman
10
                                              Acting Circuit Court Judge
11
     Submitted by:
12
     James Prunty
    Assistant Attorney General
    Department of Justice
    100 Justice Building
    Salem, OR 97310
    Telephone (503) 378-4732
16
17
18
                                                       STATE OF OREGON
                                                       County of Multnoniah )
19
                                                       The laregoing copy has been compared and
                                                       is certified by me as a full, true and correct
                                                       copy of the original on file in my office and in
20
                                CIRCULT
                                                        my custody.
                                                       In Testimony Whereol, I have hereunto sel
21
                                                       my hand and affixed the seal of the O
22
                                                        Court on: .
                                                        CIRCUIT COURT
                                                                   & Newman
                                                        Administrator
23
24
25
26
```

```
FILED
                        CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON
                                                           1990 協議 26 - 届 9: 59
                            MULTNOMAH COUNTY
                                                                THE PERSONAL DISTRICT
   STATE OF OREGON, ex rel DAVE
                                          No. A8907-04289
   PROHNMAYER, Attorney General
 of the State of Oregon.
            Plaintiff.
                                          PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
                                          AGAINST TIM WETHERALD
   TIM WETHERALD; TELANALYSIS, INC.,
   an Oregon corporation; ULTRACOM,
                                                            ENTERED
   INC., an Oregon corporation.
            Defendants.
                                                          MAR 2 7 1990
 9
       This matter came before the court on application of
11 plaintiff for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to
  Show Cause Why Preliminary Injunction Should not Issue. A
hearing was held before the Honorable Robert L. Kirkman.
14 Circuit Court Judge Pro Tem, on Friday, February 9, Monday,
15 February 12, Tuesday, February 13, Friday, February 16 and
16 Tuesday, February 20, 1990. After hearing the testimony of 25
17 witnesses and reviewing 25 exhibits entered into evidence, the
18 court makes the following FINDINGS:
          Plaintiff is likely to prevail in its complaint for
20 unlawful trade practices against defendant Tim Wetherald.
          Defendant Tim Wetherald has collected fees from Oregon
21
22 consumers for long distance telephone services without
23 delivering said services or refunding the money received for
24 said services. This was a violation of ORS 646.607(2).
25 ///
26 ///
    1 - PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST TIM WETHERALD
```

- pefendant Tim Wetherald failed to disclose that the dialers and redialers being sold to consumers along with long distance services being marketed were not necessary as a precondition to using long distance telephone services. This was a violation of ORS 646.608(1)(e) in that defendant failed to disclose the true benefits and characteristics of said equipment.
- 9 and phone service fees on a number of occasions. Those fees 10 were not forwarded to Universal Communications. Inc. The 11 payment of these fees was misrepresented to consumers and 12 violated ORS 646.608(1)(a) and (e).
- Defendant Tim Wetherald collected money up-front for telephone services and registration costs while promising to seliver those services within a particular time period, with the intent not to deliver them as promised, in violation of ORS of 646.608(1)(q). Consumers who paid these fees up-front were not stold initially that they would be put on a waiting list.
- Defendant Tim Wetherald marketed and sold the long distance telephone services of National Telephone Company Long Distance Division at times when that company had neither the legal or technical ability to provide those services. This was a violation of ORS 646.608(1)(e) and (t).
- 7. Defendant Tim Wetherald is the principal of American Long Distance. American Long Distance has no certificate of 26 authority or present ability to supply the services currently Page 2 & PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST TIM WETHERALD

- 8. Defendant Tim Wetherald collected re-connection fees
 5 from former subscribers to his long distance telephone services
 6 while failing to disclose that these charges were not required
 7 by Universal Communications, Inc. This was a violation of ORS
 8 646.3608(1)(a) and (t).
- o IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
- 1) That defendant Tim Wetherald, his agents, associates or 11 employees be restrained and enjoined from engaging in the sale 12 of long distance telephone services;
- 2) That defendant Tim Wetherald, his agents, associates or employees, including but not limited to American Long Distance. 15 take no affirmative action toward providing services or 16 undertaking to sell, solicit or collect money for or market 17 long distance telephone services, save and except to write to 18 the 67 consumers already provided long distance telephone 19 services to inform them of this court's order. This letter may 20 not be used to solicit, sell or market telephone services to 21 consumers:
- 22 3) That defendant Tim Wetherald, his agents, associates or 23 employees, including but not limited to American Long Distance, 24 shall take no action to service existing customers or sell 25 services or equipment;

26 ///

Page 3 - PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST TIM WETHERALD

- employees be restrained and enjoined from engaging in trade or commerce related to the provision, solicitation or marketing of telecommunications services, except that American Long Distance may provide telephone services by continuing to allow consumers who purchased their services before February 5, 1990 to access American Long Distance U.S. West lines and switching equipment, until and unless the PUC or some other appropriate government agency decides otherwise by enjoining said service. In no event is American Long Distance permitted to provide these telephone services after March 31, 1990. This Order does not confer upon American Long Distance any authority, right or privilege it does not already have:
- 14 5) That defendant Tim Wetherald, his agents, associates or is employees be restrained and enjoined from transferring, 16 concealing, destroying or removing the books, records, 17 documents, invoices, or other written materials relating to the 18 defendants in the above captioned case;
- 19 6) That defendant Tim Wetherald, his agents, associates or 20 employees be restrained and enjoined from transferring, 21 spending, hypothecating, concealing, encumbering or removing 22 the money, stocks, bonds, assets, notes, equipment, funds, 23 accounts receivables, policies of insurance, trust agreements, 24 or other property, real, personal or mixed, wherever situated, 25 belonging to or owned by, in possession of, or claimed by Tim 26 Wetherald, insofar as such property relates to, arises out of Page 4 PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST TIM WETHERALD

or is derived from the business activities of	f Tim Wetherald,
2 save and except normal and necessary living	expenses and
3 attorney fees. This provision shall not pre-	rent the PUC or
4 other government agency from ordering that T	m Wetherald or
5 American Long Distance make refunds to consum	nere;
6 7) That defendant Tim Wetherald, his age	ents, associates or
7 employees be restrained and enjoined from eng	raging in the
g false, misleading and deceptive acts or pract	ices set forth in
9 the amended complaint filed herein. 10 Dated this 23 day of March?	1990.
10 Dated this Anday of	. 1990.
12	
Robert L. Kir Circuit Court	kman Judge Pro Tem
14 Submitted by:	
15 James Prunty	
16 Assistant Attorney General Department of Justice)
17 100 Justice Building Salem, OR 97310	STATE OF OREGON Section (Multinomah) The Oregoing copy has been compared and
18 Telephone (503) 378-4732	is Centified by me as a full, true and correct only of the original on file in my office and in
19	n - nativity. The timeny Whareof, I have hereunto set n - tiand and affixed the sest of the
20	1-11 an: <u>1-10-90</u>
21	A mastrator
22	Deputy Deputy
23	

Page 5 - PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST TIM WETHERALD jan/0968v1-5

25

26

```
CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON
                             MULTNOMAH COUNTY
     STATE OF OREGON, ex rel DAVE
     FROHNMAYER. Attorney General
                                             No. A8907-04289
     of the State of Oregon,
                                             SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
               Plaintiff.
                                                  (UNLAWFUL TRADE
                                              PRACTICES, INJUNCTION,
          ν.
                                               CIVIL PENALTIES AND
    TIM WETHERALD: TELANALYSIS, INC..
                                                    RESTITUTION)
    an Oregon corporation; ULTRACOM.
    INC., an Oregon corporation.
               Defendants.
 9
                          FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
 10
                                    1.
11
             Plaintiff, the State of Oregon, is represented in this
12
    suit through the duly elected Attorney General for the State of
13
    Oregon. Dave Frohnmayer, who sues in his official capacity
44
    pursuant to ORS 646.63Z.
15
16
17
        During all times relevant herein, defendants have been
18
    engaged in the sale of telecommunications services, including
    specifically, the sale of long distance telephone services to
19
    Oregon consumers.
20
                                    3.
21
        The defendants have not been served with a notice of
22
    alleged unlawful trade practices and the relief to be sought
23
    pursuant to the exception provisions of ORS 646.632(6).
24
    111
25
    111
26
Page
        1 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
```

· 1 In the course of marketing and selling long distance services, defendants have violated ORS 646.607(2) by failing to deliver promised long distance services and failing to return to consumers money received for undelivered services. 6 Defendants' conduct was wilful in that they knew or should have known that it would be impossible to deliver the long distance services promised to consumers based on their limited lease of "access lines" from US West or US Bell. 10 11 12 The defendants should be permanently restrained from engaging in the sale of long distance services within the State 13 14 of Oregon. _ 15 7. 16 The defendants should be ordered to pay a civil penalty in. the sum of \$25.000 per violation of ORS 646.607(Z). 18 B _ The defendants should be ordered to pay to the Oregon 19 Department of Justice its reasonable attorney's fees, costs of 20 21 investigation, and costs of this lawsuit pursuant to ORS 646.632(8). 22 23 24 The court should order defendants WETHERALD and ULTRACOM to pay restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS 646.636. 26 111 2 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

4 .

```
SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
. 1
 2
                                    10.
          Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-3 of the First Claim for
    Relief of this complaint.
 5
                                    11.
         Defendants have violated ORS 646.608(1)(i) by advertising
    long distance telephone services with the intent not to provide
    them as advertised.
 9
                                   12.
10
         Defendants have violated ORS 646.608(1)(i) by advertising
11
    long distance telephone services with the intent not to supply
12
    reasonably expected public demand and without disclosing
13
    quantity limitations.
14
                                   13.
15
         Defendants acted wilfully in the above violations within
16
    the meaning of ORS 646.642.
17
                                   14.
18
         The plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction
19
    restraining and enjoining the defendants from engaging in any
20
    aspect of the trade or commerce relating to the sale of long
21
    distance telephone services.
22
                                  15.
23
         Plaintiff is entitled to recover, on behalf of the state,
   a civil penalty to be set by the court in the amount not to
24
25
   exceed $25,000 per defendant per violation.
26
   111
Page
         3 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
```

16. 2 Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS 646.632(8). 5 17. The court should order defendants WETHERALD and ULTRACOM to make restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS 8 646.636. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF 10 18. 11 Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-3 of the First Claim for 12 Relief of the complaint. 13 19. 14 Defendants have violated ORS 646.608(e) by falsely 15 representing that defendants' long distance telephone services 16 had benefits or qualities they did not have, in that 17 subscribers to these services were unable to make use of these 18 long distance services a large part of the time. 19 20. Defendants violated ORS 646.608(g) by falsely representing 20 21 or implying to consumers that the long distance telephone services sold. conformed to the industry standard in that they 22 23 would be available to consumers most of the time. 24 21. 25 The actions of defendants above were wilful within the 26 meaning of ORS 646.642. Page 4 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1 22. 2 The plaintiff is entitled to permanent injunction restraining and enjoining defendants from engaging in any 3 aspect of the trade or commerce relating to the sale of long distance telephone services. 6 23. 7 Plaintiff is entitled to recover, on behalf of the State, a civil penalty to be set by the court in the amount not 9 exceeding \$25,000 per defendant per violation. 10 24. 11 Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS 12. 13 646.632(8). 14 25. 15 The court should order defendants WETHERALD and ULTRACOM 16 to make restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS 17 646.636. 18 FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 19 26. 20 Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 - 3 of the First Claim for Relief of the complaint. 22 27. 23 Defendants violated ORS 646.608(1)(r) in the course of 24 their occupations by organizing, inducing or attempting to 25 induce membership in a pyramid club, as that term is defined in 26 ORS 646.509. 5 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

```
28.
          Defendants induced and attempted to induce memberships in
     a pyramid club in the following manner:
          a) By charging sales people $50 to purchase a "junior
  5
     associate status";
          b) By allowing junior associates to advance to
  7 "associates" only by sponsoring one new junior associate;
          c) By allowing associates to advance to "senior
     associate" only by making 25 sales;
      ___d)_By only allowing promotion to "executive associate" to
 10
     those making 50 sales;
 11
          e) By allowing executive associates to become "partners"
 12
 13
     only after making 100 sales:
 14
          f) By allowing partners to become "executive partners"
 15
     only after they personally sponsor an associate who becomes a
.. 16
    partner;
 17
             By making only senior partners eligible for "break
 18
    away bonuses" which entitle them to commissions on the sales of
 19
    each partnership group; and
 20
         h) By tying each level of promotion to commensurately
 21
    higher commissions and hourly wages.
22
                                   29.
23
         Defendants have induced participation in a pyramid club by
24
    representing to associates that the $50 initiation fee is an
    investment that will be earned back.
25
26
    111
Page
         6 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
```

30. 1 Without the renewed source of new junior associates paying 2 \$50 initiation fees, the scheme will not function as promised. 3 There is no real increase in sales profits to support the 4 increase in commissions comprehended by this scheme. 5 6 31. The actions of defendants described above were wilful 7 within the meaning of ORS 646.642. 9 The plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction 10 restraining and enjoining the defendants from engaging in any 11 trade or commerce relating to multi-level investment schemes or 12 13 pyramid clubs. 33. 14 Plaintiff is entitled to recover, on behalf of the State, 15 a civil penalty to be set by the court, in the amount not 16 exceeding \$25,000 per violation per defendant. 17 34. 18 Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's 19 fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS 20 21 646.632(8). 22 35. The court should order defendants WETHERALD and ULTRACOM 23

Page 7 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

24

25

26

646.663.

111

to make restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 1 2 36. 3 Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 - 3 of the First Claim for Relief of the complaint. 5 37. 6 Defendants have violated ORS 646.608(1)(b), 646.608(1)(e) and 646.608(2) by causing a likelihood of confusion concerning the source, affiliation and existence of long distance services by marketing and taking money from consumers for long distance 10 services while representing to consumers that said services would be provided by companies before contracts were entered into, and 11 12 before said companies were obligated or able to deliver such 13 services. 14 38. 15 Defendants' conduct was wilful, as defined within ORS 646.642 in that they deliberately failed to disclose to consumers that the long distance provider was under no obligation to provide service. 17 and they knowingly collected money ahead of time for said services. . 18 19 39. 20 The defendants should be permanently restrained from engaging in the sale or marketing of long distance telephone services 21 22 within the State of Oregon. 23 40. The defendants should be ordered to pay a civil penalty in 24 25 the sum of \$25.000 per violation of ORS 646.608(1)(b) and 26 646,608(1)(e). Page

11.00 IAA 000 010 1001

8 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

```
1
                                    41.
          The defendants should be ordered to pay to the Oregon.
    Department of Justice its reasonable attorney's fees, costs of
    investigation, and costs of this lawsuit pursuant to ORS
    646.632(8).
                                   42.
 7
         The court should order defendant Wetherald to refund all
    monies collected from consumers pursuant to ORS 646.636.
 9
                         SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
10
                             ____ 43.
11
        Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 - 3 of the First Claim
12
    for Relief of this complaint.
13
14
         Defendants committed an unlawful trade practice as
15
    provided in ORS 646.608(1)(s) when they made misrepresentations
16
    to consumers that up-front payments for long distance telephone
17
    services were required at a time when defendants' only role was
18
    to market the service and defendants had no authority from the
    long distance provider to collect fees.
19
20
                                   45.
21
         Defendants further violated ORS 646.608(1)(s) and
22
    646.608(2) by failing to disclose to consumers that equipment
23
   sold as part of the services marketed by defendants was
24
   unnecessary, optional and not required for access to long
   distance telephone services being sold.
26
   111
         9 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
```

DOJ

8

, 1	46.
2	Defendants acted wilfully in the above violations within
3	the meaning of ORS 646.642.
4	47.
5	The plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction
6	restraining and enjoining the defendants from engaging in any
. 7	aspect of the trade or commerce relating to the sale or
8	marketing of long distance telephone services.
9	48.
10	Plaintiff is entitled to recover a civil penalty in the
:11	amount of \$25,000 per violation of ORS 646.608(1)(s) and
12	646.608(2).
13	49.
14	Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's
15	fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS
16	645.632(8).
17	50.
18	The court should order defendant WETHERALD to make
19	restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS 646.636.
20	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
21	51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51. • 51.
22	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 - 3 of the First Claim
23	for Relief of the complaint.
24	52.
25	Defendants engaged in common law fraud when they
26	intentionally collected up-front long distance charges from
Page	10 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

```
consumers with knowledge that they had no authority or
      justification to do so, and intentionally kept the money for
   2
      their own benefit without providing promised sevices to
      consumers.
                                     53.
           Defendants committed common law fraud when they made
     misrepresentations to consumers that up-front payments for long
     distance telephone services were required at a time when
     defendants' only role was to market the service and defendants
     had no authority from the long distance provider to collect
  10
  11
     fees.
 12
          Defendants further committed common law fraud by selling
 13
 14
     equipment to consumers while representing that it was required,
 15
     and failing to disclose that the equipment, sold as part of the
     services marketed by defendants, was unnecessary, optional and
 17
     not required for access to the long distance telephone services
 18
     being sold.
 19
                                   55.
 20
          Defendants committed common law fraud when they collected
     registration and connection fees without the knowledge or
 21
    authorization of the long distance service provider. Said fees
 22
    benefited defendants only and were wholly misrepresented,
 23
 24
    unearned and unjustified.
 25
    111
 26
    111
Page
         11 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
```

DOJ

56.

Defendants' misrepresentations and failures to disclose

- material facts described above in paragraphs 53 through 55 were
- 4 made with the intention of deceiving consumers into purchasing
- 5 goods and services that were unnecessary, unavailable, or
- 6 materially different than defendants' representations of their
- 7 quality or quantity. These representations were made by
- 8 defendants with the intention that consumers would rely on them.

9 57.

- 10 Consumers relied on the false representations by_____
- 11 defendants described above in paragraphs 52 through 55 by
- 12 paying money to defendants for goods and services that were not
- 13 received.
- 14 58.
- 15 Plaintiff seeks an order directing defendant WETHERALD to
- 16 pay restitution to all persons injured by defendant's
- 17 fraudulent behavior.
- 18
- 19 The plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction
- 20 restraining and enjoining the defendants from engaging in any
- 21 aspect of the trade or commerce relating to the sale or
- 22 marketing of long distance telephone services.
- 23 60.
- Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's
- 25 fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS
- 26 646.632(8).
- Page 12 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

```
1
                          EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
  2
                                     61.
  3
          Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 - 3 of the First Claim
     for Relief of the complaint.
  5
                                    62.
  6
          Defendants promised consumers that they could deliver long
     distance telephone services to consumers within a specified
     period of time with the intention of not delivering them as
     promised in violation of ORS 646.608(1)(q) when they told
     consumers that services would begin within ten to fourteen days
 10
 11
     of payment.
 12
                                    63.
 13
          Defendants acted wilfully in the above violations within
 14
     the meaning of ORS 646.642.
 15
                                    64.
 16
          The plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction
 17
    restraining and enjoining the defendants from engaging in any
 18
    aspect of the trade or commerce relating to the sale or
    marketing of long distance telephone services.
19
20
                                   65.
21
         Plaintiff is entitled to recover a civil penalty in the
22
    amount of $25,000 per violation of ORS 646.608(1)(s) and
23
    646.608(2).
24
    111
25
    111
26
    111
Page
         13 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
```

```
7.1
                                    66.
          Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's
  2
     fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS
     646.632(8).
                                    67.
          The court should order defendant WETHERALD to make
    restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS 646.636.
 8
                         NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
 9
                                    68.
         Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 - 3 of the First Claim
10
11
    for Relief of the complaint.
12
                                   69.
13
         Defendants, in the course of marketing long distance
    telephone services. have misrepresented to consumers that the
4
    litigation with the Department of Justice has been resolved in
15
    their favor, in violation of ORS 646.608(1)(g) which forbids
16
17
    false representations relating to the standard, quality or
18
    grade of services being sold.
19
                                   70.
20
         The plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction
   restraining and enjoining the defendants from engaging in any
21
22
   aspect of the trade or commerce relating to the sale or
23
   marketing of long distance telephone services.
24
   111
25
   111
26
   111
        14 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
age
```

DOJ

)	
2	Plaintiff is entitled to recover a civil penalty in the
3	amount of \$25,000 per violation of ORS 646.608(1)(s) and
4	646.608(2).
5	72.
6	Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney's
7	fees and costs of investigation in this lawsuit pursuant to ORS
8	646.632(8).
9	73.
10	The court should order defendant WETHERALD to make
11	restitution to injured consumers pursuant to ORS 646.636.
12	
13	
.4	Respectfully submitted,
15	DAVE FROHNMAYER Attorney General
16	
17	And Land
18	Assistant Attorney General
9	Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
20	
21	
22	
23	
A.	

15 - SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT jap/2219Y

25

26

age